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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of $N$-ethylphenothiazine, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS}$, has been determined by the heavy-atom method. The refinement was carried out by the least-squares method with anisotropic temperature factors based on three-dimensional data to give a final $R$ value of 0.033 for 1258 reflections. The space group is $P n a 2_{1}$ with $Z=4$, and unit-cell parameters: $a=14 \cdot 3136$ (8), $b=10 \cdot 8141$ (8), and $c=7 \cdot 6677$ (2) $\AA$. All the hydrogen atoms were located on a difference Fourier synthesis. The best planes of the benzene rings make a dihedral angle of $135 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. The average sulfur-carbon bond length is 1.766 (3) $\AA$ and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angle is $97.4(1)^{\circ}$. The packing of the molecules in the crystal is determined by the van der Waals interactions.


## Introduction

The crystal structures of phenothiazine and a number of its derivatives have been determined by the X-ray diffraction method. These include phenothiazine (Bell, Blount, Briscoe \& Freeman, 1968), N-methylphenothiazine (Chu \& Van der Helm, 1974), phenothiazine-10-propionic acid (Malmstrom \& Cordes, 1972), phenothiazine-10-propionitrile (Malmstrom \& Cordes, 1973), chlorpromazine (McDowell, 1969), thiethylperazine (McDowell, 1970), thiazinamium (Marsau \& Cam, 1973), promethazine (Marsau \& Busetta, 1973), and methoxypromazine (Marsau \& Gauthier, 1973). In this work, the crystal structure of $N$-ethylphenothiazine (I) has been determined with the objective of determining the effect of the $N$-substituent on the stereochemistry of phenothiazines in order to explain the difference in the chemical reactivity of the different $N$-substituted phenothiazines (Biehl, 1974). Since many phenothiazine derivatives are chemotherapeutic agents (Domino, 1967; Zirkle \& Kaiser, 1970), this work will also contribute to the basic understanding of the correlation between the pharmacological activity and the molecular structure of the phenothiazine derivatives.

(I)

## Experimental

Single crystals of $N$-ethylphenothiazine were obtained through the courtesy of Dr Edward R. Biehl of the Chemistry Department of Southern Methodist University. The crystals are clear needle prisms with the $a$ axis
parallel to the needle axis. The unit-cell parameters and the intensity data were measured on a NoniusCAD 4 automatic diffiactometer. The crystal data are summarized in Table 1. A $\theta / 2 \theta$ scanning mode with Ni -filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation was used to measure 1318 independent reflections with $2 \theta$ values below $150^{\circ}$, of which 1262 reflections were considered as observed. A reflection was considered as observed if its intensity was greater than $1 \cdot 4 \sigma(I)$, where $\sigma(I)$ was determined from counting statistics. The intensity data were reduced to structure factors by the application of Lorentz and polarization factors, and no absorption corrections were applied.

## Table 1. Crystal data of $N$-ethylphenothiazine

Chemical formula:

| $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS}$ |
| :--- |
| Crystal system: |
| orthorhombic |$\quad$ M.W. 227.33

Crystal system: orthorhombic
Space group: $\quad P_{n a 2_{1}}$ or Pnam from the systematic extinctions: $0 k l$ absent with $k+l$ odd and $h 0 l$ absent with $h$ odd.
$P n a 2_{1}$ is the correct space group because of the short length of $c$.

| $\begin{aligned} & a=14 \cdot 3136(8) \AA \\ & b=10.8141(8) \\ & c=7.6677(2) \end{aligned}$ | (obtained from + and $-2 \theta$ values of 40 reflections) | $\begin{aligned} & V=1186 \cdot 87 \AA^{3} \\ & Z=4 \\ & F(000)=480 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{x}=1.27 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ <br> $D_{m}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (by flotation in a mixture of ethyl alcohol and chloroform) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=1.5418 \AA$ |  |  |
| $\mu(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=20.90 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Structure determination and refinement

The structure was determined by the heavy-atom method. The position of the sulfur atom was obtained from the Harker peaks of the $E^{2}-1$ Patterson synthesis. All carbon and nitrogen atoms were located in a Fourier synthesis. The refinement was carried out by the full-matrix least-squares method with anisotropic

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and thermal parameters (all $\times 10^{4}$ )
The estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses and refer to the last positions of respective values. The expression for the temperature factor exponent consistent with $\beta$ values is $\left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{12} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right)\right]$.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 2322 (0) | 662 (1) | 0 (0) | 42 (0) | 149 (1) | 181 (1) | 3 (0) | -19 (1) | 8 (1) |
| N | 3761 (2) | 518 (2) | 2742 (3) | 48 (1) | 104 (2) | 126 (4) | -2 (1) | -13 (2) | -3 (2) |
| C(1) | 3312 (3) | 2741 (3) | -839 (6) | 69 (2) | 115 (3) | 233 (6) | 30 (2) | 8 (3) | 29 (4) |
| C(2) | 4001 (3) | 3644 (3) | -538(6) | 84 (2) | 91 (3) | 340 (10) | 17 (2) | 27 (4) | 30 (4) |
| C(3) | 4599 (3) | 3488 (3) | 832 (7) | 74 (2) | 81 (3) | 373 (10) | -6 (2) | 29 (4) | -26 (4) |
| C(4) | 4549 (2) | 2461 (3) | 1904 (5) | 53 (1) | 103 (2) | 235 (7) | 2 (2) | -2 (3) | -40 (4) |
| C(5) | 4104 (2) | -1694 (3) | 2257 (5) | 53 (1) | 111 (3) | 191 (6) | 2 (2) | 19 (2) | 30 (3) |
| C(6) | 3944 (3) | -2783 (3) | 1362 (5) | 84 (2) | 92 (3) | 264 (8) | -5 (2) | 57 (4) | 22 (4) |
| C(7) | 3309 (3) | -2818 (3) | 4 (7) | 94 (2) | 104 (3) | 256 (7) | -32 (2) | 53 (5) | -23 (5) |
| C(8) | 2835 (2) | -1764 (3) | -441 (4) | 68 (2) | 133 (3) | 170 (6) | -36 (2) | 13 (2) | -21 (3) |
| C(11) | 3238 (2) | 1739 (2) | 272 (4) | 45 (1) | 91 (3) | 171 (7) | 14 (2) | 4 (3) | -2 (4) |
| C(12) | 3858 (2) | 1570 (2) | 1663 (4) | 44 (1) | 84 (3) | 153 (5) | 10 (2) | 3 (3) | -16(3) |
| C(13) | 3622 (2) | -634 (2) | 1853 (4) | 42 (1) | 97 (2) | 126 (4) | -5 (1) | 10 (2) | 9 (3) |
| C(14) | 2969 (2) | -684 (3) | 489 (4) | 44 (1) | 114 (3) | 139 (5) | -16 (1) | 6 (2) | 1 (3) |
| C(15) | 4212 (2) | 509 (3) | 4431 (4) | 61 (2) | 140 (3) | 145 (5) | -4 (2) | -26(2) | -8(3) |
| C(16) | 3661 (3) | -184 (4) | 5784 (5) | 67 (2) | 188 (5) | 139 (5) | 26 (3) | 1 (3) | 17 (4) |

Table 2 (cont.)
Hydrogen atom coordinates $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $286(3)$ | $279(3)$ | $-183(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $403(3)$ | $450(3)$ | $-143(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $503(3)$ | $391(3)$ | $112(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $495(3)$ | $251(3)$ | $277(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $452(2)$ | $-173(3)$ | $313(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $438(2)$ | $-354(3)$ | $171(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $325(3)$ | $-368(3)$ | $-62(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $249(3)$ | $-172(3)$ | $-130(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15) 1$ | $493(3)$ | $16(4)$ | $437(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15) 2$ | $417(2)$ | $141(3)$ | $477(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16) 1$ | $404(3)$ | $-19(4)$ | $697(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16) 2$ | $310(3)$ | $25(4)$ | $586(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16) 3$ | $353(3)$ | $-128(4)$ | $551(5)$ |

temperature factors. All the hydrogen atoms were located on a difference Fourier synthesis. Their positional parameters were refined; their thermal parameters were assigned the same values as those of the atoms to which they are bonded. Cruickshank's (1965) weighting scheme was used, and the weight of each reflection was calculated according to the formula $1 / w=\left(0.15-0.02\left|F_{o}\right|+0.002\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\right)$. The quantity $\sum w\left\{\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right\}^{2}\right.$ was minimized. The final $R$ index ( $\left.\sum\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|\right)$ was 0.033 . The magnitude of $\left[\Sigma\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2} /(m-n)\right]^{1 / 2}$, where $m$ is the number of reflections and $n$ is the number of parameters refined, was 0.91 . There are four low-order reflections (201, $311,002,202$ ) with calculated structure amplitudes much higher than the observed values due to extinc-
tion. These were given zero weight in the least-squares refinement and were excluded in the calculation of the final disagreement index. An anomalous dispersion correction (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970) for S was added in the least-squares refinement. The atomic scattering factors used for sulfur, nitrogen, and carbon atoms were those from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). For hydrogen, the values given by Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) were used. The final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 2.*
The crystal of $N$-ethylphenothiazine belongs to a polar space group and the polarity of the crystal was determined by the refinement of atomic parameters with positive and negative $z$ values for $+h+k+l$ data (Ibers \& Hamilton, 1964). Refinement for the atomic parameters of the molecule reported in Table 2 gave an $R$ value of 0.033 and the refinement for the atomic parameters with opposite $z$ values gave an $R$ value of $0 \cdot 039$. Therefore, the crystal with the reported atomic parameters (Table 2) has the correct polarity at greater than $99.5 \%$ confidence level using Hamilton's (1965) $R$-value significance test.

The computer programs used in this analysis were
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Fig. 1. The stereoscopic drawing of one molecule of $N$-ethylphenothiazine.

ORFLS (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962), the Zalkin Fourier synthesis program modified by Dr R. Shiono of the University of Pittsburgh, and a number of structure interpretation programs (Shiono, 1971; Chu, 1973) All calculations were carried out on a CDC CYBER 72 computer in the Computing Laboratory at Southern Methodist University.

## Description of the structure

A stereoscopic view of the configuration of an N ethylphenothiazine molecule is shown in Fig. 1 (Johnson, 1965). The identification of the atoms and the bond lengths and bond angles with their standard deviations are shown in Fig. 2.

Table 3. Comparison of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles and dihedral angles in phenothiazine derivatives


Within the central ring Outside ring Dihedral

| Compounds | C-S ( $\AA$ ) | C-N ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}(\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | angle( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Phenothiazine | 1.770 (3) | $1-406$ (2) | 99.6 (2) | $121 \cdot 5$ (2) |  |  | $153 \cdot 3$ | Bell, Blount, Briscoe <br> \& Freeman (1968) |
| 2. N -Methylphenothiazine | 1.764 (2) | $1 \cdot 402$ (2) | 97.4 (1) | 118.0 (2) | $1 \cdot 455$ (5) | $118 \cdot 1$ (3) | $143 \cdot 7$ | Chu \& Van der Helm (1974) |
| 3. $N$-Ethylphenothiazine | 1.766 (3) | $1 \cdot 412$ (4) | 97.4 (1) | 116.7 (2) | $1 \cdot 460$ (4) | 118.6 (2) | $135 \cdot 0$ | This work |
| 4. Phenothiazine-10propionitrile | 1.76 (1) | 1.42 (1) | 98.7 (7) | $117 \cdot 6$ (9) | $1 \cdot 48$ (2) | $118 \cdot 1$ (9) | $135 \cdot 4$ | Malmstrom \& Cordes (1973) |
| 5. Phenothiazine-10propionic acid | $1 \cdot 77$ (1) | 1.43 (2) | 98.5 (7) | $115 \cdot 4$ (11) | 1.47 (2) | $119 \cdot 1$ (8) | $136 \cdot 5$ | Malmstrom \& Cordes (1972) |
| 6. Chlorpromazine | 1.75 (1) | 1.41 (1) | 97.3 (3) | 118.4 (5) | $1 \cdot 51$ (1) | $117 \cdot 8$ (5) | $139 \cdot 4$ | McDowell (1969) |
| 7. Thiethylperazine | 1.78 (2) | 1.43 (2) | 99.0 (7) | $118 \cdot 1$ (10) | $1 \cdot 48$ (2) | 117.9 (11) | $139 \cdot 0$ | McDowell (1970) |
| 8. Thiazinamium | 1.772 (6) | $1 \cdot 411$ (7) | 98.0 (3) | $117 \cdot 2$ (5) | $1 \cdot 478$ (8) | $119 \cdot 5$ (5) | $135 \cdot 6$ | Marsau \& Cam (1973) |
| 9. Promethazine | 1.766 (5) | $1 \cdot 414$ (6) | 98.8 (2) | 118.5 (4) | $1 \cdot 453$ (7) | $119 \cdot 1$ (4) | $140 \cdot 6$ | Marsau \& Busetta (1973) |
| 10. Methoxypromazine | 1.758 (7) | $1 \cdot 413$ (7) | 99.8 (3) | $122 \cdot 3$ (5) | 1.476 (8) | $117 \cdot 5$ (5) | 157.7 | Marsau \& Gauthier (1973) |



Fig. 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of $N$-ethylphenothiazine with e.s.d.'s in parentheses.

Table 3 (cont.)

| Compounds | R | R' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H | H |
| 2 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| 3 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| 4 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ |
| 5 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ |
| 6 | Cl | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ |
| 8 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHC}_{\mathrm{H}_{3}} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{SO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| 9 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{HBr}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |

The mean value of the two carbon-sulfur bond lengths is 1.766 (3) $\AA$, and the carbon-sulfur-carbon bond angle is $97.4^{\circ}$; both are in good agreement with those obtained in $N$-methylphenothiazine (Chu \& Van der Helm, 1974). For comparison, the C-S and C-N bond lengths and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles in phenothiazine derivatives are summarized in Table 3. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths of the central ring are not significantly different for the phenothiazine derivatives; however, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles are significantly different. All C-N-C angles are close to $120^{\circ}$, indicating that the three $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds around the nitrogen atom are approximately planar in configuration.

The least-squares planes in $N$-ethylphenothiazine are shown in Table 4. The dihedral angle between the least-squares planes of the two benzene rings is $135 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. A comparison of the dihedral angles in phenothiazine derivatives is also summarized in Table 3. Owing to the non-bonded interactions between the $N$-substituent and the benzene ring, the dihedral angle is smaller for substituted phenothiazines. The non-bonded hydrogen distances in $N$-ethylphenothiazine are $2 \cdot 24,2 \cdot 33$, and $2.37 \AA$ between $\mathrm{H}(4) \ldots \mathrm{H}(15) 2, \mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{H}(15) 1$, and $\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{H}(16) 3$, respectively. However, the dihedral angle of $157.7^{\circ}$ in methoxypromazine (Marsau \& Gauthier, 1973) is larger than the dihedral angle of $153.3^{\circ}$ in phenothiazine (Bell, Blount, Briscoe \& Freeman, 1968). The reason for the large dihedral angle in methoxypromazine is that the $N$-substituent extends to the concave side of the tricyclic ring instead of to the convex side as in all other phenothiazine derivatives. This is illustrated by the torsion angle about the N -


Fig. 4. The stereoscopic drawing of molecular packing of $N$-ethylphenothiazine, excluding hydrogen atoms, in the unit cell.

Table 4. Least-squares planes and displacements ( $\AA$ ) of atoms from the planes
Equation of planes: $A x+B y+C z=D$, where $x, y, z$ are in $\AA$.

| Plane | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(a)$ | 0.6226 | -0.5061 | -0.5968 | 1.8208 |
| $(b)$ | 0.6055 | -0.5162 | -0.6057 | 1.6878 |
| $(c)$ | 0.7218 | 0.2520 | -0.6446 | 2.6522 |
| $(d)$ | 0.7109 | 0.2572 | -0.6546 | 2.5794 |
| $(e)$ | 0.7444 | -0.1484 | -0.6511 | 3.0319 |

Benzene ring

|  | $(a)$ | $(b)$ |  | $(c)$ | $(d)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.015 | -0.045 | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.010 | -0.033 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0.015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -0.003 | 0.017 | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -0.009 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -0.012 | 0.043 | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -0.003 | -0.008 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0.015 | -0.004 | $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.014 | -0.025 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -0.012 | -0.035 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.010 | 0.002 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.003 | -0.003 | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.013 | 0.036 |  |  |  |  |
| S | $-0.114^{*}$ | 0.021 | S | $-0.073^{*}$ | -0.001 |  |  |  |  |
| N | 0.000 | 0.007 | N | $0.028^{*}$ | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Central ring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(e)

|  | $(e)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.003 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.003 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.004 |
| S | $-0.664^{*}$ |
| N | $-0.468^{*}$ |

Dihedral angles between the least-squares planes

| $(a)$ and $(c)$ | $135 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $(b)$ and $(d)$ | $134 \cdot 0$ |
| $(a)$ and $(b)$ | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| $(c)$ and $(d)$ | 0.9 |

* Indicates atoms excluded from the calculation of the least-squares planes.
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